

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/555,951	09/05/2000	Lester Andrew Evans	RJENK14.001'A	8225
7	590 04/23/2002			
Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear Suite 1400 501 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101-3505			EXAMINER	
			GAUTHIER, GERALD	
San Diego, CA	92101-3505		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2645	
		DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/555,951	EVANS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gerald Gauthier	2645
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. so) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on	
, , ,	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	,—	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		,
4) Claim(s) 23-44 is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-44</u> is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 Septembe</u>	<u>er 2000</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ o	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obj	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)□ approved b)□ c	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are rec	. , ,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	pplication No
	of the priority documents have been national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies not	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign lands) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f		
ttachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Art Unit: 2645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show details at the box described on FIG. 1 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hulen et al. (US 5,497,373).

Art Unit: 2645

Page 3

Regarding **claim 35**, Hulen discloses a method of providing a mailbox answerphone service to a caller in a mobile communications system during a call directed to a directory number used commonly by different subscribers to access their mailboxes column 5, lines 26-36) [The multimedia interface performs the necessary protocol in various communications formats], comprising:

identifying, through an answerphone service, a mailbox associated with a subscriber identification code (column 8, lines 23-32) [The call service tables includes a host channel ID and processing service ID]; and

automatically entering either a first mode of answerphone operation if the call is of national original or a second, different mode of answerphone operation if the call is of international origin (column 8, line 63 to column 9, line 9) [The CPU identifies the type of services selected].

Application/Control Number: 09/555,951 Page 4

Art Unit: 2645

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 23-28, 31-34, 36, 37 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hulen in view of Wilson et al. (US 5,838,772).

Regarding **claim 23**, Hulen discloses a method of providing a mailbox answerphone service to a caller in a mobile communications system during a call directed to a directory number used commonly by different subscribers to access their mailboxes (column 5, lines 26-36) [The multimedia interface performs the necessary protocol in various communications formats], comprising:

providing an identification code identifying a mailbox associated with a subscriber through an identification code through an answerphone service (column 8, lines 23-32) [The call service tables includes a host channel ID and processing service ID]; and entering either a first mode of answerphone operation or a second, different, mode of answerphone operation in dependence on information received during call establishment (column 8, line 63 to column 9, line 9) [The CPU identifies the type of services selected].

Hulen fails to disclose the call is of international origin.

However, Wilson teaches a method of indicating whether the call is of international origin (column 8, lines 31-38) [The country of origin will be passed to the equipment].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the call is of international origin of Wilson in the invention of Hulen.

Doing so would identify the numbers of calls for each country.

Regarding claim 24, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 23 above differ from claim 24.

In addition, Hulen discloses a method, further comprising in said first mode of operation, if the call is not diverted, providing a message retrieval service, and if the call is diverted, providing a message deposit service (column 6, lines 60-64).

Regarding claim 25, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 24 above differ from claim 25.

In addition, Hulen discloses a method, further comprising determining whether the call is diverted using information received during call establishment (column 5, lines 26-32).

Regarding claim 26, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 23 above differ from claim 26.

Art Unit: 2645

second mode of operation either a message deposit service or a message retrieve

In addition, Hulen discloses a method, further comprising providing in said

service in dependence of a receipt of a selection indicator from said caller during the

call (column 6, lines 60-64).

Regarding claim 27, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 26 above differ from

claim 27.

In addition, Hulen discloses a method, further comprising in said second mode

prompting said caller, after inputting said identification code during the call, for a voice

message to be received and stored, and providing said message retrieve service if said

indicator is received from said user (column 5, lines 26-36).

Regarding claim 28, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 26 above differ from

claim 28.

In addition, Hulen discloses a method, wherein said indicator comprises a DTMF

tone (column 7, lines 37-40).

Art Unit: 2645

Page 7

Regarding claim 31, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 23 above differ from claim 31 in that it fails to disclose an international origin indicator in signaling associated with the call.

However, Wilson discloses a method, further comprising identifying a call of international origin through an international origin indicator in signaling associated with the call (column 7, lines 44-51).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an international origin indicator in signaling associated with the call of Wilson in the invention.

Doing so would have the contact number on the international network.

Regarding claim 32, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 23 above differ from claim 32 in that it fails to disclose a calling line identity (CLI) signal, and an international origin indicator.

However, Wilson discloses a method, further comprising: associating the call with a divert flag, a calling line identity (CLI) signal, and an international origin indicator:

setting said divert flag if the call is diverted from a mobile station to said apparatus and said mobile station is located within a coverage area of said mobile communications system (column 7, lines 51-56);

associating said CLI signal with the call if the call originates or is diverted from a mobile station within said coverage area and said mobile station is preset to transmit said CLI signal (column 8, lines 1-8); and

associating said international origin indicator with the call if the call originates or is diverted from a mobile station and said mobile station is used at a location causing said international origin indicator to be sent to said mobile communications system during call establishment (column 8, lines 31-38).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a calling line identity (CLI) signal, and an international origin indicator of Wilson in the invention.

Doing so would allow different audio information for each originating country.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 33, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 32 above differ from claim 33 in that it fails to disclose a voice message to be received and stored.

However, Wilson discloses a method, further comprising providing a message deposit service to said caller if said divert flag is set, and prompting in said message deposit service said caller for a voice message to be received and stored (column 7, lines 56-60).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a voice message to be received and stored of Wilson in the invention.

Doing so would record the caller message for later access from the subscriber.

Art Unit: 2645

Page 10

Regarding claim 34, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 32 above differ from claim 34 in that it fails to disclose the divert flag not set and said CLI associated with the call.

However, Wilson discloses a method, further comprising providing a message retrieve service to said caller if said divert flag is not set and said CLI is associated with the call, or said divert flag is not set, said CLI signal is not associated with the call, and identification code is received from said caller during the call, and in said retrieve service a stored voice message is retrieved and provided to said caller (column 8, lines 21-30).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the divert flag not set and said CLI associated with the call of Wilson in the invention.

Doing so would provide various modes of operations.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 36, Hulen as applied to claim 35 above differ from claim 36 in

that it fails to disclose the origin of the call using information received during call

establishment.

However, Wilson discloses a method, further comprising deriving the origin of the

call using information received during call establishment (column 8, lines 31-38).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the origin of the call using information received during call

establishment of Wilson in the invention of Hulen.

Doing so would pass the information to the equipment.

Regarding claim 37, Hulen as applied to claim 35 above differ from claim 37 in

that it fails to disclose the common directory number by all subscribers to access the

answer phone service.

However, Wilson discloses a method; further comprising using said common

directory number by all subscribers to access said answerphone service (column 7,

lines 44-46).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the common directory number by all subscribers to access

the answer phone service of Wilson in the invention of Hulen.

Doing so would provide a personal number for a "follow me".

Regarding **claim 42**, Hulen discloses a voice processing system for a mobile communications system, adapted to identify a mailbox associated with a subscriber by way of an identification code processed through an answerphone service (column 5, lines 26-36) [The multimedia interface performs the necessary protocol in various communications formats], to enter either a first mode of answerphone operation (column 8, line 63 to column 9, line 9) [The CPU identifies the type of services selected].

However, Wilson teaches a second, different, mode of answerphone operation in dependence on information received during call establishment indicating whether the call is of international origin (column 8, lines 31-38) [The country of origin will be passed to the equipment].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the call is of international origin of Wilson in the invention of Hulen.

Doing so would identify the numbers of calls for each country.

Hulen fails to disclose the call is of international origin.

Art Unit: 2645

6. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hulen in view of Wilson and in further view of Kennedy, III et al. (US 5,539,810).

Regarding claim 29, Hulen and Wilson as applied to claim 23 above differ from claim 29 in that it fails to disclose prompting said caller for said identification code.

However, Kennedy discloses a method, further comprising prompting said caller for said identification code if said identification code is otherwise not associated with the call when received (column 11, lines 33-38).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use prompting said caller for said identification code of Kennedy in the invention of Hulen and Wilson.

Doing so would request an identification code.

Regarding **claim 30**, Hulen and Wilson as applied to **claim 23** above differ from **claim 29** in that it fails to disclose an identification code for a directory number of the subscriber.

However, Kennedy discloses a method, wherein said identification code corresponds to a directory number of said subscriber (column 11, lines 17-19).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an identification code for a directory number of the subscriber of Kennedy in the invention of Hulen and Wilson.

Doing so would program special dial numbers.

Art Unit: 2645

7. Claims 38 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. (US 6,333,973) in view of Venturini (US 5,987,317).

Page 14

Regarding **claim 38**, Smith discloses a method of providing a mailbox answerphone service to a caller in a mobile communications system (column 3, lines 48-54) [The integrated message center resides in a mobile communication], wherein said answerphone service identifies a mailbox associated with a subscriber by way of an identification code (column 8, lines 40-45) [The icons identified voice mail and other services], said method comprising:

receiving a call from a mobile handset, the call being directed to a directory number used commonly by different subscribers to access their mailboxes (column 7, lines 7-12) [The voice signals are received from the mobile];

allowing said caller to input a selection indicator during the call (column 8, lines 36-40) [The user is presented a selectable list]; and

(a) if said indicator is not received, detecting a first identification code associated with said mobile handset from information received during call establishment and providing a message retrieve service to allow the caller to retrieve messages from the mailbox associated with said first identification code (column 9, line 61 to column 10, line 2) [The user have the option to press keys to access the server].

Smith fails to disclose allowing the caller to retrieve messages associated with a second identification code.

Art Unit: 2645

However, Venturini teaches if said indicator is received, allowing the user to input a second identification code and providing a message retrieve service to allow the caller

(column 8, lines 19-28) [The mobile dials the prestored access code].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use allowing the caller to retrieve messages associated with a second identification code of Venturini in the invention of Smith.

to retrieve messages from the mailbox associated with said second identification code

Doing so would automatically dial the prestored access code.

Regarding claim 44, Smith discloses an apparatus for use in a mobile communications system, said mobile communications system being arranged to establish a communications link with said apparatus in response to a call by a user (column 7, lines 7-12), said apparatus being responsive during the call to receipt of a response selection indicator (column 8, lines 36-40).

Smith fails to disclose allowing the caller to retrieve messages associated with a second identification code.

However, Venturini teaches a receipt of a number of identification codes each being associated with a different mobile subscriber, wherein said apparatus is arranged to select one of said mobile subscribers and/or to select one of a plurality of predetermined responses if said response selection indicator is received, and otherwise to automatically provide a particular response relating to one of said mobile subscribers (column 8, lines 7-28) [The user can access the voice-mail of any network].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use allowing the caller to retrieve messages associated with a second identification code of Venturini in the invention of Smith.

Doing so would request the message stored in the voice mail to be retrieved.

Art Unit: 2645

8. Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Venturini and in further view of Beyda et al. (US 5,889,839).

Regarding **claim 39**, Smith and Venturini as applied to **claim 38** above differ from **claim 39** in that it fails to disclose a directory number of a different subscriber.

However, Beyda discloses a method; wherein each of said identification codes corresponds to a directory number of a different subscriber (column 3, lines 58-62).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a directory number of a different subscriber of Beyda in the invention of Smith and Venturini.

Doing so would call a special number.

Regarding **claim 40**, Smith and Venturini as applied to **claim 38** above differ from **claim 40** in that it fails to disclose a security code associated with the mailbox being accessed.

However, Beyda discloses a method, further comprising prompting said caller for a security code associated with the mailbox being accessed (column 3, lines 58-62).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a security code associated with the mailbox being accessed of Beyda in the invention of Smith and Venturini.

Doing so would enter a code in the cellular telephone.

Art Unit: 2645

Page 18

9. **Claim 41** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Venturini and in further view of Hulen.

Regarding **claim 41**, Smith and Venturini as applied to **claim 38** above differ from **claim 41** in that it fails to disclose an indicator including a DTMF tone code.

However, Hulen discloses a method, wherein said indicator comprises a DTMF tone code (column 7, lines 37-40).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an indicator including a DTMF tone code of Hulen in the invention of Smith and Venturini.

Doing so would configure the multi-media interface to process the time slots.

10. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hulen in view of Venturini.

Page 19

Regarding claim 43, Hulen discloses an apparatus for use in a mobile communications system, said apparatus being adapted to store messages for subsequent retrieval by a subscriber of the mobile communications system (column 5. lines 16-18) [The MMI provides messaging services] wherein said apparatus is adapted to identify a first subscriber making a call to retrieve a message by means of an identification signal automatically forwarded to said apparatus during call establishment, said signal identifying the equipment being used by said subscriber (column 8, lines 23-32) [The call service tables includes a host channel ID and processing service ID].

Hulen fails to disclose allowing the caller to retrieve messages associated with a second identification code.

However, Venturini teaches wherein said apparatus is further adapted to identify a second subscriber, on receipt of a request from said second subscriber during the call, by way of other information supplied by said second subscriber during the call (column 8, lines 19-28) [The user can access the voice-mail of any network].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use allowing the caller to retrieve messages associated with a second identification code of Venturini in the invention of Smith.

Doing so would request the message stored in the voice mail to be retrieved.

Art Unit: 2645

Conclusion

Page 20

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Bannister et al. is cited for a method for providing user-controlled calls

management's services (FIG. 1A).

Fortman is cited for a system for automatically delivering messages to a

telecommunications device (FIG. 1).

Urs et al. is cited for a method for providing voice mail service (FIG. 1).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gerald Gauthier whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for

regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4750.

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Gevald of authoring g.g. April 15, 2002

Jan Je